- (20) Krausse, J.; Schödl, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1971, 27, 59.
- (21) Atwood, J. L.; Hunter, W. E.; Rogers, R. D.; Holton, J.; McMeeking, J.; Pearce, R.; Lappert, M. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1978, 140.

B. Çetinkaya, I. Gümrükçü, M. F. Lappert*

School of Molecular Sciences, University of Sussex Brighton BN1 9QJ, England

J. L. Atwood,* R. Shakir

Department of Chemistry, The University of Alabama University, Alabama 35486 Received September 4, 1979

Bivalent Germanium, Tin, and Lead 2,6-Di-*tert*-butylphenoxides and the Crystal and Molecular Structures of $M(OC_6H_2Me-4-Bu_2^{t}-2,6)_2$ (M = Ge or Sn)

Sir:

A series of colored bivalent group 4 metal di-*tert*-butylphenoxides, $M(OAr)_2$, has been prepared (selected data are in Table I; M = Ge, Sn, or Pb). These are the first bivalent two-coordinate compounds of these elements to exist as discrete monomers in the crystalline state at ambient temperature.¹

The crystal and molecular structures of the compounds $M(OAr')_2$ [Ar' = 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenyl; M = Ge(1) or Sn (2)] are illustrated in Figure 1 and significant molecular parameters are listed in Table II. It is evident that, despite the bulk of the Ar'O⁻ ligand, a V-shaped MO₂ arrangement, corresponding to a singlet electronic ground state (sp² to p² hybridization), is preferred to a linear OMO arrangement (sp hybridization) expected for a triplet. This is consistent with the diamagnetism observed for the bulk compounds and the sharp ¹H NMR spectra of solutions in C_6D_6 . There appears to be only an isolated previous diffraction result for a simple tetravalent alkoxide or aryloxide of Ge, Sn, or Pb.^{2,3} Bivalent analogues are ill-characterized, unless derived from a bidentate ligand; e.g., $Sn(PhCOCHCOMe)_2$ is monomeric with a pseudo-tbp (trigonal bipyramidal) arrangement at Sn, the stereochemically active lone pair being held to occupy an equatorial position.⁴ Monomeric (in C_6H_6) $Be(OAr''')_2$ (Ar''' = 2,6-Bu'_2C_6H_3) probably has a linear OBeO structure;⁵ $Mg(OAr')_2$ is a monomer in tetrahydrofuran.6

It is interesting to compare the structural chemistry of the new M^{II} compounds obtained from the bulky oxygen-centered



Figure 1. Molecular structure of $Sn(OC_6H_2Me-4-Bu'_2-2,6)_2$ (2) with the atoms represented by their 40% probability ellipsoids for thermal motion. The germanium analogue (1) is isostructural.

 Table I. Some Data for Bivalent Germanium, Tin, and Lead 2,6-Di-tert-butylphenoxides

		yield,	¹ H NMR s	hifts, $ au$	in C ₆ D ₆	
compd ^a	color	%	Bu ^t	Me	Ar	mp, °C
$Ge(OAr')_2$	yellow	66	9.14	8.35	3.75	189-192
$Sn(OAr')_2$	yellow	96	8.37	7.67	2.80	219-222
Sn(OAr") ₂	yellow.	91	8.30 (2) 8.53 (1)		2.43	205-207
Pb(OAr') ₂	red	85	8.60	7.47	2.67	170-172

^a Ar' = 2,6-Bu'₂-4-MeC₆H₂, Ar'' = 2,4,6-Bu'₂C₆H₂; these are air-sensitive compounds, all of which gave satisfactory microanalytical results; they are monomeric in the vapor as judged by parent ions in their mass spectra; they are soluble in C₆H₆, PhMe, or THF at 20 °C.

Table II. Important Bond Lengths (Ångstroms) and Angles (Degrees) for $M(OAr')_2$ (M = Ge or Sn)

<u> </u>	Ge	Sn
	Bond Lengths	
M-O(1)	1.802 (8)	1.995 (4)
M-O(2)	1.812 (7)	2.022 (4)
D(1) - C(1)	1.39 (1)	1.375 (6)
O(2) - C(16)	1.41 (1)	1.367 (6)
	Angles	
O(1) - M - O(2)	92.0 (4)	88.8 (2)
M - O(1) - C(1)	128.6 (7)	128.6 (4)
M - O(2) - C(16)	120.7 (6)	121.8 (3)
O(1)-C(1)-C(2)	120 (1)	118.7 (5)
O(1) - C(1) - C(6)	120 (1)	120.4 (5)
O(2) - C(16) - C(17)	118 (1)	118.6 (5)
O(2) - C(16) - C(21)	121 (1)	120.5 (5)

ligands with their isoelectronic N- and C-centered analogues. The alkyls M[CH(SiMe₃)₂]₂ were described in detail in 1976,⁷ but X-ray data are available only for the tin compound. In the crystal it is a diamagnetic Sn-Sn-bonded dimer, having three-coordinate Sn atoms with a C-Sn-C angle of 112°; although the Sn-Sn bond is relatively short, 2.764 (2) Å, it is very weak as evidenced by the diamagnetic monomeric character of the compound in cyclohexane solution. This feature is found also in the Ge and Pb analogues and in the amides⁸ M(NRR')₂ [(i) R = SiMe₃, R' = Bu^t, M = Ge, or Sn, or Pb; (ii) R = R' = SiMe₃, M = Ge, Sn,⁹ or Pb; and (iii) R = R' = GeMe₃, SiEt₃, or GePh₃; M = Ge or Sn]. Electron diffraction on gaseous Sn[N(SiMe₃)₂]₂ shows that at 100 °C (10⁻² atm) this is the C_{2v} monomer with N-Sn-N = 96.0°;¹⁰ crystals proved to be unsuitable for X-ray study.

The group 4 metal phenoxides are obtained according to either of the equations

$$MCl_{2} + (LiOAr \cdot OEt_{2})_{2} \xrightarrow{\text{THF}} M(OAr)_{2} + 2OEt_{2} + 2LiCl$$
(1)

$$M[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2 + 2ArOH \xrightarrow{n-C_6H_{14}}$$

 $M(OAr)_2 + 2HN(SiMe_3)_2 \quad (2)$

In one preparative experiment, 3.24 g of solid (LiOAr'-OEt₂)₂¹¹ was slowly added to a stirred solution of 1.59 g of GeCl₂-dioxane in 25 mL of THF at 20 °C. The yellow solution with white precipitate was heated under reflux for 2 h. The solvent was removed at 20 °C (10^{-3} mmHg). Recrystallization of the residue from 20 mL of PhMe with 10 mL of *n*-C₆H₁₄ gave 1.82 g of the yellow analytically pure, air-sensitive compound 1.

In another experiment, slow addition of predried 4.84 g of 2,6-Bu'₂-4-MeC₆H₂OH in 15 mL of n-C₆H_i4 to 6.02 g of

Communications to the Editor

 $Pb[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2$ in 5 mL of $n-C_6H_{14}$ at 20 °C gave a red precipitate. The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 2 h. Filtration afforded the red, analytically pure, air-sensitive $Pb(OC_6H_2Me-4-Bu_2^{t}-2,6)_2$.

Compound 1 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$ with lattice constants a = 13.853(5), b = 14.289(5),c = 14.830 (6) Å; $\beta = 92.90$ (4)°; and $\rho_{calcd} = 1.16$ g cm⁻³ for Z = 4. Least-squares refinement based on 1681 observed reflections led to a final R value of 0.060. The tin analogue 2 is isostructural and possesses unit cell parameters a = 13.882(3), $b = 14.164 (4), c = 15.064 (4) \text{ Å}; \beta = 93.95 (2)^{\circ}; \text{ and } \rho_{\text{calcd}}$ = 1.25 g cm⁻³. The final R value was 0.040 for 3262 observed reflections. For both structures hydrogen atoms were included and all nonhydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters.

Scrutiny of Table II reveals that there are only two significant differences between the $M(OAr')_2$ structures. The shorter Ge-O bond apparently causes an increase in ligand-ligand repulsion which in turn produces a larger O-Ge-O bond angle, 92.0 (4)°, compared with the O-Sn-O angle, 88.7 (2)°. There are no crystal structures of two-coordinate M^{11} (M = Ge, Sn) complexes in the literature, but the M–O distances in 1 and 2are near the short end of the reported range for other Ge-O or Sn-O bonds: 1.730 (1) Å for $M = Ge^{12}$ in [(PhCH₂)₃M]₂O and 1.919 (1) Å for the Sn analogue.¹²

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the National Science Foundation for partial support (to J.L.A.).

References and Notes

- The amide Ge[NCMe₂(CH₂)₃CMe₂]₂ also exists as the monomer in the crystalline state, with N-Ge-N = 111.4°, as shown by Atwood, J. L.; Slade, M. J.; Lappert, M. F.; Zaworotko, M. J., unpublished results.
- Cf. Bradley, D. C.; Mehrotra, R. C.; Gaur, D. P. "Metal Alkoxides"; Academic Press: New York, 1978; pp 83–86.
 Domingos, A. M.; Sheldrick, G. M., Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 1974, 30,
- 519. Me₃SnOMe is an infinite polymer with trigonal-bipyramidal Sn and
- bridging MeO groups. Ewings, P. F. R.; Harrison, P. G.; King, T. G. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1975, 1455. (4)
- (5) Andersen, R. A.; Coates, G. E. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1972, 2153
- (6) Goel, A. G.; Mehrotra, R. C. Indian J. Chem., Sect. A 1978, 16, 428
- Davidson, P. J.; Harris, D. H.; Lappert, M. F. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (7) 1976, 2268. Gynane, M. J. S.; Harris, D. H.; Lappert, M. F.; Power, P. P.; Rivière, P.;
- Rivière-Baudet, M., J. Chem. Soc., Datton Trans. 1977, 2004. See also Harris, D. H.; Lappert, M. F., J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.
- 1974, 895. Schaeffer, C. D.; Zuckerman, J. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 7160.
- (10) Lappert, M. F.; Power, P. P.; Slade, M. J.; Hedberg, L.; Hedberg, K.; Schomaker, V. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1979, 369. (11)
- Çetinkaya, B.; Gümrükçü, I.; Lappert, M. F.; Atwood, J. L.; Shakir, R., J. Am. Chem. Soc., preceding paper in this issue. (12) Glidewell, C.; Liles, D. C. J. Chem. Commun. 1979, 93.

B. Çetinkaya, I. Gümrükçü, M. F. Lappert*

School of Molecular Sciences, University of Sussex Brighton BN1 9QJ, England

J. L. Atwood,* R. D. Rogers, M. J. Zaworotko

Department of Chemistry, University of Alabama University, Alabama 35486 Received September 4, 1979

β -Elimination from 6-Alkylmercaptopurine Ribonucleosides

Sir:

In a nonenzymatic process that could serve as a model for the biogenesis of thiolated bases in tRNA, 6-chloropurine ribonucleoside reacts with glutathione to yield a thioether, from which 6-mercaptopurine ribonucleoside is generated by treatment with alkali.¹ Recent evidence from this laboratory seemed to support a hydrolytic mechanism for the second step, offering an apparent method for converting cysteine into serine residues in glutathione and other peptides.² Further analysis shows that this evidence was misleading.

Thioethers derived from glutathione and N-acetylcysteine, after alkaline degradation as described earlier² followed by mild acid hydrolysis (2 M HCl, 100 °C, 2 h), yielded ~0.4 equiv of dehydroalanine as determined by the procedure of Patchornik et al.^{3,4} The thioether derived from glutathione, after acid hydrolysis (6 M HCl, 155 °C, 20 min) and analysis with a Technicon single-column analyzer, was found to contain equimolar amounts of glycine and glutamic acid, with no trace of serine or cysteine. Ethanol, detected in earlier experiments in which 6-ethylmercaptopurine ribonucleoside was treated with alkali, was found to have resulted from traces of ethanol in the commercial alcohol dehydrogenase that had been used for analysis. Changes in ultraviolet spectrum, associated with the slow alkaline decomposition of 6-ethylmercaptopurine ribonucleoside,² are found to have been the result of degradation of the purine ring.

We conclude that sulfur transfer from glutathione to purine ribonucleoside, in alkaline solution, does not occur by hydrolysis. Instead, thioethers of 6-mercaptopurine ribonucleoside undergo β -elimination to yield 6-mercaptopurine ribonucleoside and an olefin, at a rate that is strongly dependent on the nature of the thioether.² In cases where elimination is slow, degradation of the purine ring system becomes significant as a competing reaction.

Acknowledgment. This work was generously supported by the National Institutes of Health, Grant No. GM-18325.

References and Notes

- (1) B. T. Walsh and R. Wolfenden, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 6221 (1967)

- S. Kirkman and R. Wolfenden, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **100**, 5943 (1978).
 A. Patchornik and M. Sokolovsky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **86**, 1206 (1964).
 M. Sokolovsky, T. Sadeh, and A. Patchornik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **86**, 1212 (1964).

Paul Cullis, Sue Kirkman, Richard Wolfenden*

Department of Biochemistry University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514 Received November 26, 1979

Synthesis and Molecular Structure of $Pd_4[P(C_6H_5)_2(CH_3)]_4(CO)_5$: A New **Tetranuclear Carbonyl Cluster**

Sir:

We are systematically investigating the reactions of the nitrite ligand with carbon monoxide. Square planar $Ni(NO_2)_2$ complexes of tertiary phosphines have previously been shown to react with CO forming {NiNO}¹⁰ complexes and CO₂ nearly quantitatively.¹⁻⁴ Reaction 1 proceeds by formation of a five-coordinate carbon monoxide complex followed by transfer of an oxygen atom from an adjacent -NO₂ ligand to produce carbon dioxide and the nickel nitrosyl complex. To assess the role of the metal in the oxidation of CO by $-NO_2$, we have now examined the reaction of CO with $-NO_2$ complexes of Pd(II). In contrast to reaction 1, carbon monoxide reacts with $Pd(NO_2)_2L_2$ to form the previously unknown tetranuclear palladium(0) clusters, $Pd_4(CO)_5L_4$ (reaction 2). The molecular structure of one of these palladium clusters has been determined and is the subject of this report.

© 1980 American Chemical Society